Magnetic-field-induced dynamical instabilities in an antiferromagnetic spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensate

Zhengguo Pu,¹ Jun Zhang,¹ Su Yi,² Dajun Wang,³ and Wenxian Zhang^{1,*}

¹School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430072, China

²Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100190, China

³Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

(Received 4 March 2016; published 31 May 2016)

We theoretically investigate four types of dynamical instability, in particular the periodic and oscillatory type I_O , in an antiferromagnetic spin-1 Bose-Einstein condensate in a nonzero magnetic field, by employing the coupled-mode theory and numerical method. This is in sharp contrast to the dynamical stability of the same system in zero field. Remarkably, a pattern transition from a periodic dynamical instability I_O to a uniform one III_O occurs at a critical magnetic field. All four types of dynamical instability and the pattern transition are ready to be detected in ²³Na condensates within the availability of the current experimental techniques.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.93.053628

I. INTRODUCTION

Dynamical instabilities (DIs) exist in a wide variety of classical and quantum systems, such as solid-state [1], liquid crystal [2], nonlinear optics [3], chemistry [4,5], and biological systems [6]. The classical systems are well described by the linear response theory [7,8]. According to this theory, four types of dynamical instabilities are ideally distinguished in terms of the characteristic wave vector k_p and/or the real part of the frequency, $\text{Re}(\omega_p)$:

(1) DIs of type III_S $[k_p = 0, \text{Re}(\omega_p) = 0]$ are uniform in space and stationary in time, as shown in Fig. 1(a). This DI type is usually considered trivial.

(2) DIs of type III_0 [$k_p = 0$, Re(ω_p) $\neq 0$] are uniform in space and oscillatory in time, as shown in Fig. 1(b). This type of system does not exhibit any spatial structure during its evolution.

(3) DIs of type I_0 [$k_p \neq 0$, Re(ω_p) $\neq 0$] are periodic in space and oscillatory in time, as shown in Fig. 1(c). This type of system spontaneously forms a spatial pattern during its evolution, even starting from a uniform initial state.

(4) DIs of type I_S [$k_p \neq 0$, Re(ω_p) = 0] are periodic in space and stationary in time, as shown in Fig. 1(d). This type of system may exhibit many complex spatial patterns which are actually decomposed into simple roll states with different wave vector k.

Quantum systems may exhibit various types of DI, in particular in Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), which are generally described by the Gross-Pitaevskii equation or nonlinear Schrödinger equation within the mean-field theory [9,10]. The fine tunability and the wonderful controllability in BEC experiments provide an excellent test bed for many theoretical predictions [11–18]. In fact, the DIs exist not only in scalar (single-component) BECs, such as solitons [19] and vortices [20], but also in spinor BECs, including the twocomponent and three-component spinor BECs, where vector solitons [21], Skyrmion vortices [22,23], and spin domains and textures are explored [24–30]. These DI types belong to either III_O or I_O . The more complicated DI type I_O was theoretically investigated only in two-component or two-mode BECs [31] and experimentally in five-component spin-2 ⁸⁷Rb condensates [32,33]. However, for the experimentally easily available spin-1 BECs, few efforts had been made in this direction.

In this paper, we systematically investigate all four types of DI in an antiferromagnetic spin-1 BEC in a magnetic field. Although the antiferromagnetic spin-1 BEC is dynamically stable at zero magnetic field, the introduction of a nonzero magnetic field causes the system to exhibit all four types of DI. Unlike the unavoided crossing theory in the coupled twocomponent BECs, the emergence of the I_O -type DI requires the simultaneous coupling of the three modes in the spin-1 BEC. Moreover, an amazing pattern transition from type I_O to type III_O occurs as we increase the magnetic field. Our numerical calculations indicate that these types of DI are readily observed in ²³Na condensates under current experimental conditions. The theory and methods open a door to the understanding of the spin texture observed experimentally in spin-1 condensates in nonzero magnetic fields with or without magnetic dipolar interaction [25-27].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we review the theoretical description of the system and number-conserving Bogoliubov theory. In Sec. III, we analytically design and numerically confirm magnetic-field-induced dynamical instabilities and pattern transition. In Sec. IV we present experimental consideration and then give a conclusion.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND NUMBER-CONSERVING BOGOLIUBOV THEORY

We consider a uniform antiferromagnetic spin-1 condensate in an external magnetic field **B** along the *z* axis. The Hamiltonian of the system is [34-37]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \int d\vec{r} \bigg[\hat{\psi}_i^{\dagger} \bigg(\frac{-\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + E_i \bigg) \hat{\psi}_i + \frac{c_0}{2} \hat{\psi}_i^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_j^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_j \hat{\psi}_i \\ &+ \frac{c_2}{2} \hat{\psi}_k^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_i^{\dagger} (F_{\gamma})_{ij} (F_{\gamma})_{kl} \hat{\psi}_j \hat{\psi}_l \bigg], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $i, j, k, l \in \{\pm, 0\}$ with $\pm, 0$ denoting the magnetic quantum numbers $\pm 1, 0$, respectively. Repeated indices are

^{*}wxzhang@whu.edu.cn



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram for four types of dynamical instability. The blue and red dotted lines are the real and imaginary parts of the black solid line ω , respectively. (a) Type-*III*_S dynamical instability appears with the peak located at zero wave vector k_p and zero Re(ω_p), (b) type *III*_O at zero momentum k_p and nonzero Re(ω_p), (c) type I_O at nonzero momentum k_p and nonzero Re(ω_p), and (d) type I_S at nonzero momentum k_p and zero Re(ω_p).

summed. $\psi_i(\psi_i^{\dagger})$ is the field operator which annihilates (creates) an atom in the *i*th hyperfine state $|i\rangle \equiv |F = 1, m_F = i\rangle$. M is the mass of the atom. Interaction terms with coefficients c_0 and c_2 describe elastic collisions of spin-1 atoms, namely, $c_0 =$ $4\pi \hbar^2 (a_0 + 2a_2)/3M$ and $c_2 = 4\pi \hbar^2 (a_2 - a_0)/3M$ with a_0 and a_2 being the s-wave scattering lengths in singlet and quintuplet channels. The spin exchange interaction is antiferromagnetic (ferromagnetic) if $c_2 > 0$ (<0). We focus on the antiferromagnetic spin interaction in this work. $F_{\gamma=x,y,z}$ are spin-1 matrices. E_i denotes the Zeeman shift of an alkali-metal atom in the state $|i\rangle$ (the Breit-Rabi formula) [38,39], $E_{\pm} = -(E_{\text{HFS}}/8) \mp$ $g_I \mu_I B - (\underline{E_{\text{HFS}}/2})\sqrt{1 \pm \alpha + \alpha^2}$ and $E_0 = -(E_{\text{HFS}}/8) - (\underline{E_{\text{HFS}}/8})$ $(E_{\rm HFS}/2)\sqrt{1+\alpha^2}$, where $E_{\rm HFS}$ is the hyperfine splitting and $\alpha = (g_I \mu_I B + g_J \mu_B B) / E_{\text{HFS}}$. Here g_J is the Landé g factor for a valence electron with total angular momentum J = 1/2and g_I is the Landé g factor for an atom with nuclear spin I = 3/2, such as ⁸⁷Rb and ²³Na atoms. μ_B (μ_I) is the Bohr (nuclear) magneton. For convenience we introduce the linear and the quadratic Zeeman terms $\eta = (E_- - E_+)/2$ and $\delta = (E_+ + E_- - 2E_0)/2$, respectively.

To explore the DIs of the antiferromagnetic condensate in a nonzero magnetic field, we start from a stationary state, which is a solution to the coupled equations [39] $c_2n\rho_0\sqrt{(1-\rho_0)^2-m^2}\sin\theta = 0$ and $-\delta + c_2n(1-2\rho_0) + c_2n[(1-\rho_0)(1-2\rho_0)-m^2]/\sqrt{(1-\rho_0)^2-m^2}\cos\theta = 0$, where *n* is the total density of the condensate. The fractional population of the *i*th component is $\rho_i = n_i/n$ with n_i the *i*th component density and $\sum_i n_i = n$; $\mathbf{m} = \rho_+ - \rho_-$ is the condensate magnetization, and $\theta = \theta_+ + \theta_- - 2\theta_0$ is the relative phase with θ_i being the phase of the *i*th component. The condensate spin wave function is $\xi_i = \sqrt{\rho_i}e^{-i\theta_i}$ and the energy of the condensate is [36,40,41] $\varepsilon = c_2n\rho_0[(1-\rho_0)+\sqrt{(1-\rho_0)^2}-\mathbf{m}^2\cos\theta]+\delta(1-\rho_0)$. We limit ourselves to the stationary states with $\mathbf{m} = 0$ and $\theta = 0$, i.e., $\xi = (\xi_1 \ \xi_0 \ \xi_{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$ with

$$\xi_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\delta}{8c_2n}}, \quad \xi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta}{4c_2n}}.$$
 (2)

We focus on the three-component condensates; thus, $\delta/c_2n \in (-2,2)$. In fact, the parameter range we explore in this work is $\delta/c_2n \ll 1$. We note that such a stationary state lies at a maximum point on the energy surface and is easily prepared by rotating a full polarized condensate to the +x direction with a rf pulse in experiments [42].

For a uniform spinor condensate, it is more convenient to work in momentum space by expanding the field operators in terms of plane waves as $\hat{\psi}_m = \Omega^{-1/2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m}$, where Ω is the volume of the condensate and $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m}$ ($\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m}^{\dagger}$) is the annihilation (creation) operator of an atom with wave number \mathbf{k} and magnetic number $m \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$. Following the same procedure as in Refs. [43,44], the original Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), is rewritten as $\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},m} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \eta m + \delta m^2) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m} + (c_0/2\Omega) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} :$ $\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} : + (c_2/2\Omega) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} : \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} :$, where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2 / (2M)$ is the kinetic energy of the collective excitation mode with wave vector \mathbf{k} , $\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{q},m} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q},m}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k},m}$ and $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{q},m,n} \mathbf{f}_{m,n} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q},m}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{k},n}$ with $\mathbf{f} = (F_x, F_y, F_z)$ being the spin-1 matrices in vector notation. The symbol :: denotes the normal ordering of operators.

The dispersion relations of the system can be directly calculated with the number-conserving Bogoliubov theory, which preserves the atom number *N* without introducing the chemical potential as Lagrange multiplier. According to this theory, by substituting $\hat{a}_{0,m}$ with $\xi_m (N - \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0,m} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},m} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m})^{1/2}$ and keeping terms up to the second order in $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0,m}$ ($\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\neq 0,m}$), the effective Bogoliubov Hamiltonian becomes [44]

$$\hat{H}_{e} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{m=-1}^{1} \left[\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \eta m + \delta m^{2} + \eta \langle F_{z} \rangle - \delta \langle F_{z}^{2} \rangle - c_{2}n \left(1 - \left| \xi_{0}^{2} - 2\xi_{1}\xi_{-1} \right|^{2} \right) \right] \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m} + c_{2}n \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq\mathbf{0}} \sum_{m,n} \mathbf{f}_{m,n} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m} + \frac{c_{0}n}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq\mathbf{0}} (2\hat{D}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{D}_{\mathbf{k}} + \hat{D}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{D}_{-\mathbf{k}} + \hat{D}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{D}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}) + \frac{c_{2}n}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\neq\mathbf{0}} (2\hat{F}_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{F}_{k} + \hat{F}_{k} \cdot \hat{F}_{-k} + \hat{F}_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{F}_{-k}^{\dagger}),$$
(3)

where $n = N/\Omega$, $D_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{m} \xi_{m}^{*} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},m}$, $\hat{F}_{k} = \sum_{m,n} \mathbf{f}_{m,n} \xi_{m}^{*} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k},n}$, $\langle F_{z} \rangle$ ($\langle F_{z}^{2} \rangle$) is the average of $F_{z}(F_{z}^{2})$ over the stationary state $\xi = (\xi_{1} \xi_{0} \xi_{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$, and the constant term is ignored.

The square of the Bogoliubov excitation spectrum $\omega_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^2$ is straightforwardly calculated as the eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix

$$G = (M + N)(M - N),$$
 (4)

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & c_2n(\xi_1\xi_0^* + 2\xi_0\xi_{-1}^*) + c_0n\xi_1\xi_0^* & c_0n\xi_1\xi_{-1}^* - c_2n\xi_1\xi_{-1}^* \\ c_2n(\xi_0\xi_1^* + 2\xi_{-1}\xi_0^*) + c_0n\xi_0\xi_1^* & A_0 & c_2n(2\xi_1\xi_0^* + \xi_0\xi_{-1}^*) + c_0n\xi_0\xi_{-1}^* \\ c_0n\xi_{-1}\xi_1^* - c_2n\xi_{-1}\xi_1^* & c_2n(2\xi_0\xi_1^* + \xi_{-1}\xi_0^*) + c_0n\xi_{-1}\xi_0^* & A_{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$N = n \begin{pmatrix} (c_0 + c_2)\xi_1^2 & (c_0 + c_2)\xi_1\xi_0 & (c_0 - c_2)\xi_1\xi_{-1} + c_2\xi_0^2 \\ (c_0 + c_2)\xi_1\xi_0 & c_2\xi_0^2 + 2c_2\xi_1\xi_{-1} & (c_0 + c_2)\xi_0\xi_3 \\ (c_0 - c_2)\xi_1\xi_{-1} + c_2\xi_0^2 & (c_0 + c_2)\xi_0\xi_3 & (c_0 + c_2)\xi_1^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $A_m = \epsilon_k - \eta m + \delta m^2 + \eta \langle F_z \rangle - \delta \langle F_z^2 \rangle + c_2 n (|\xi_m|^2 - 2|\xi_{-m}|^2 + |\xi_0^2 - 2\xi_1\xi_{-1}|^2) + c_0 n |\xi_m|^2$.

III. MAGNETIC-FIELD-INDUCED DYNAMICAL INSTABILITIES AND PATTERN TRANSITION

A. Magnetic-field-induced dynamical instabilities

We numerically calculate the dispersion relations for a uniform ²³Na condensate in zero and nonzero magnetic fields and present the results in Fig. 3. At B = 0, as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(d), the imaginary part of the frequency is zero for all three modes: the density mode (DM), the spin mode (SM), and the quadrupolar spin mode (QSM). These zero imaginary parts indicate that the stationary state is dynamically stable, consistent with previous results [45]. Such an agreement indicates that the number-conserving Bogoliubov theory essentially produces the same collective excitation spectrum as the standard one but is more convenient without introducing an additional Lagrange multiplier [44]. There are four interesting cross points as the wave vector k increases in Fig. 2(a): (i) the SM and the DM cross at the origin, (ii) the QSM crosses the DM, (iii) the QSM crosses the



FIG. 2. Dispersion relations in an antiferromagnetic spin-1 BEC. Only the positive branches are plotted. Real (a) and imaginary (d) parts of the collective modes' frequency at B = 0 mG. Real (b) and imaginary (e) parts of the collective modes' frequency at B = 0.04 mG. Real (c) and imaginary (f) parts of the collective modes' frequency at B = 1 mG. DM, SM, and QSM are denoted with green dotted lines, blue dashed lines, and red solid lines, respectively. The condensate density is $n = 1 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³. Four types of DIs all appear in a large nonzero magnetic field.

SM, and (iv) the QSM touches zero frequency. All the last three cross points lie at nonzero wave vectors. Dynamical instability might occur near these four cross points if a perturbation is purposely introduced [31], as we numerically prove in the following.

Typical types of DIs are presented in Figs. 2(b) and 2(e), and Figs. 2(c) and 2(f), for B = 0.04 mG and 1 mG, respectively. From Figs. 2(b) and 2(e), three DI types are observed at B = 0.04 mG: III_S at k = 0, and two I_O 's and I_S as k increases. From Figs. 2(c) and 2(f), there are four DI types, III_S and III_O at k = 0, and I_S as k increases.

By comparing Figs. 2(d) and 2(e), different types of DI indeed occur by introducing a small but nonzero magnetic field and the peak positions of the wave vector of the DI correspond, respectively, to the cross points in Fig. 2(a). As will be shown, the emergence of these DIs is clearly explained by the following perturbation theory, where the magnetic field effects are treated as perturbation.

At B = 0, we define $G = G_0$. It is easy to find the eigenvalues of G_0 and the transformation matrix S,

$$S^{-1}G_0S = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_S^2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \omega_Q^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \omega_D^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\omega_S = \epsilon_k$, $\omega_Q = \epsilon_k - 2c_2 n$, and $\omega_D = \sqrt{[\epsilon_k + 2n(c_0 + c_2)]\epsilon_k}$, where

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{2} & 1 & 1\\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2}\\ \sqrt{2} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These eigenmodes are SM, QSM, and DM.

At a small magnetic field, the stationary state is approximately the same as B = 0 since the quadratic Zeeman effect $\delta/(c_2n)$ is negligible. The perturbation term introduced by the magnetic field $V = S^{-1}(G - G_0)S$ is, expressed in the eigenbasis of G_0 ,

$$V = \eta \begin{pmatrix} \eta & \sqrt{2}(\epsilon_k - c_2 n) & \sqrt{2}\epsilon_k \\ \sqrt{2}(\epsilon_k - c_2 n) & \eta/2 & \eta/2 \\ \sqrt{2}[(c_0 + c_2)n + \epsilon_k] & \eta/2 & \eta/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$



FIG. 3. Dependence of the peak positions (top), the real part (middle), and the imaginary part (bottom) of the peak values of four types unstable modes on the magnetic field (left column) and on the condensate density (right column) in an antiferromagnetic spin-1²³Na condensate. The density is $n = n_0$ with $n_0 = 1 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³ for the left column and the magnetic field is B = 1 mG for the right column. The magnetic fields and the densities are available in current ²³Na condensate experiments.

Clearly, the magnetic field terms couple all three modes and cause various types of DI, including the trivial III_S pattern, the common I_S [46], and the long-sought I_O 's [31,45].

At a relatively large magnetic field, by comparing Figs. 2(e) and 2(f), we find that the DIs become more significant with larger imaginary parts. To systematically investigate the magnetic field effect on these DIs, at each magnetic field we extract the peak position of the imaginary part of the four types of DI, k_p , and the corresponding real and imaginary parts of the frequency, ω_p . These results are presented in the left column of Fig. 3, from which we find that the peak positions and the real and imaginary parts of the peak values all increase monotonically with the magnetic field, except the lower $k_p I_0$ whose peak position decreases down to zero (see also Fig. 4).

The density dependence of the peak properties is presented in the right column of Fig. 3. The peak positions of I_O and I_S decrease a little and then increase as the density increases. Importantly, the lowest k_p is about 1 μ m⁻¹ at the density of $n = 1 \times 10^{14}$ cm⁻³, which implies that a spatial pattern with a characteristic scale of $2\pi/k_p \sim 6 \mu$ m appears. Such a spatial pattern is experimentally detectable and the atom density is readily available with current experimental techniques [47]. From Fig. 3(d), we find that the real parts of the peak value for III_O and I_O increase with the density. Interestingly, the imaginary parts for the I_O and I_S increase at low densities but decrease at high densities, as shown in Fig. 3(f).

B. Pattern transition

The unusual decreasing of peak position of the lower- $k_p I_0$ to zero in Figs. 3(a) and 4 actually manifests a remarkable



FIG. 4. Pattern transition. The DI type changes from I_O to III_O as $B > B_C$, indicated by the peak position lying at $k_p = 0$. The width of the DI region changes drastically from a quadratic function of *B* to a linear one before B_C .

pattern transition to III_O . Such a pattern transition has not been revealed before, to the best of our knowledge. As shown in Fig. 4, the width of the I_O region w increases rapidly in a rough quadratic form but the peak position is almost fixed if the magnetic field is smaller than 0.06 mG. Once the left end of the I_O region touches the origin k = 0, the width changes only linearly with *B* but the peak position decreases drastically down to zero. The DI type becomes III_O since $k_p = 0$, if $B > B_C$.

IV. CONCLUSION

To experimentally observe the DIs and the pattern transition, on the one hand, it is important to lower the magnetic field so that the characteristic length of the DIs is larger than the spatial resolution of about 1 μ m for the detector. Within a magnetically shielded room, the magnetic field can reach as low as 1×10^{-2} mG with the phase compensation technique [48], which is low enough for the DIs and the pattern transition. On the other hand, the characteristic time of the DIs $[1/\text{Im}(\omega_p)]$ must be shorter than the spin-1 condensate lifetime, which can reach as long as 1×10^2 s [49]. One has to balance the two factors in a practical ²³Na condensate experiment.

We predict all four types of DI in an antiferromagnetically interacting spin-1 condensate in a magnetic field with the number-conserving Bogoliubov theory. Remarkably, the system exhibits a pattern transition from I_O to III_O once the magnetic field crosses the critical value B_c . Our theoretical predictions about the DIs and the pattern transition are readily verified in a ²³Na spin-1 condensate under currently available experimental conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W.Z. and D.W. thank L. You for inspiring discussions at the early stage of this work. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grants No. 11574239, No. 11434011, No. 11547310, and No. 11275139, the National Basic Research Program of China Grant No. 2013CB922003, RGC Hong Kong (GRF Grant No. CUHK403813), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

- P. Coullet, R. E. Goldstein, and G. H. Gunaratne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1954 (1989).
- [2] M. Dubois and P. Berg, J. Fluid Mech. 85, 641 (1978).
- [3] J. V. Moloney and A. C. Newell, *Nonlinear Optics* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1992).
- [4] A. S. Mikhailov and I. V. Uporov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk. 144, 79 (1984).
- [5] V. Castets, E. Dulos, J. Boissonade, and P. De Kepper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2953 (1990).
- [6] J. J. Tyson and J. P. Keener, Physica D 32, 327 (1988).
- [7] M. C. Cross and P. C. Hohenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65, 851 (1993).
- [8] S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 54, 16470 (1996).
- [9] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [10] Y. Kawaguchi and M. Ueda, Phys. Rep. 520, 253 (2012).
- [11] D. M. Stamper-Kurn and M. Ueda, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 1191 (2013).
- [12] L. Zhao, J. Jiang, T. Tang, M. Webb, and Y. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 225302 (2015).
- [13] X. Li, B. Zhu, X. He, F. Wang, M. Guo, Z.-F. Xu, S. Zhang, and D. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 255301 (2015).
- [14] X. He, B. Zhu, X. Li, F. Wang, Z.-F. Xu, and D. Wang, Phys. Rev. A 91, 033635 (2015).
- [15] M. Anquez, B. A. Robbins, H. M. Bharath, M. Boguslawski, T. M. Hoang, and M. S. Chapman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 155301 (2016).
- [16] T. M. Hoang, M. Anquez, M. J. Boguslawski, H. M. Bharath, B. A. Robbins, and M. S. Chapman, arXiv:1512.06766.
- [17] W. Zhang, S. Yi, M. S. Chapman, and J. Q. You, Phys. Rev. A 92, 023615 (2015).
- [18] Y. Eto, H. Saito, and T. Hirano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 185301 (2014).
- [19] S. Burger, K. Bongs, S. Dettmer, W. Ertmer, K. Sengstock, A. Sanpera, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5198 (1999).
- [20] M. R. Matthews, B. P. Anderson, P. C. Haljan, D. S. Hall, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2498 (1999).
- [21] X.-F. Zhang, X.-H. Hu, X.-X. Liu, and W. M. Liu, Phys. Rev. A 79, 033630 (2009).
- [22] J. Ruostekoski and J. R. Anglin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3934 (2001).
- [23] U. Al Khawaja and H. Stoof, Nature (London) 411, 918 (2001).
- [24] H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, J. Stenger, S. Inouye, A. P. Chikkatur, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2228 (1999).
- [25] L. E. Sadler, J. M. Higbie, S. R. Leslie, M. Vengalattore, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Nature (London) 443, 312 (2006).

- [26] M. Vengalattore, S. R. Leslie, J. Guzman, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 170403 (2008).
- [27] M. Vengalattore, J. Guzman, S. R. Leslie, F. Serwane, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Phys. Rev. A 81, 053612 (2010).
- [28] Y. Eto, M. Takahashi, K. Nabeta, R. Okada, M. Kunimi, H. Saito, and T. Hirano, Phys. Rev. A 93, 033615 (2016).
- [29] Y. Eto, M. Takahashi, M. Kunimi, H. Saito, and T. Hirano, arXiv:1512.01331.
- [30] R. S. Tasgal and Y. B. Band, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 013615 (2015).
- [31] N. R. Bernier, E. G. Dalla Torre, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 065303 (2014).
- [32] J. Kronjäger, C. Becker, P. Soltan-Panahi, K. Bongs, and K. Sengstock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 090402 (2010).
- [33] C. Klempt, O. Topic, G. Gebreyesus, M. Scherer, T. Henninger, P. Hyllus, W. Ertmer, L. Santos, and J. J. Arlt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 195302 (2009).
- [34] T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 742 (1998).
- [35] T. Ohmi and K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 1822 (1998).
- [36] C. K. Law, H. Pu, and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5257 (1998).
- [37] W. Zhang, S. Yi, and L. You, New J. Phys. 5, 77 (2003).
- [38] J. Vanier and C. Audoin, *The Quantum Physics of Atomic Frequency Standards* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2015), Vol. 2.
- [39] W. Zhang, D. L. Zhou, M.-S. Chang, M. S. Chapman, and L. You, Phys. Rev. A 72, 013602 (2005).
- [40] S. Yi, Ö. E. Müstecaphoğlu, C.-P. Sun, and L. You, Phys. Rev. A 66, 011601 (2002).
- [41] H. Pu, C. K. Law, S. Raghavan, J. H. Eberly, and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. A 60, 1463 (1999).
- [42] M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, D. M. Kurn, D. S. Durfee, C. G. Townsend, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 582 (1997).
- [43] M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A 63, 013601 (2000).
- [44] K. Murata, H. Saito, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A 75, 013607 (2007).
- [45] W. Zhang, D. L. Zhou, M.-S. Chang, M. S. Chapman, and L. You, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 180403 (2005).
- [46] M. Matuszewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 020405 (2010).
- [47] D. M. Stamper-Kurn, in From Atomic to Mesoscale: The Role of Quantum Coherence in Systems of Various Complexities (World Scientific, Singapore, 2016), pp. 61–87.
- [48] Y. Eto, H. Ikeda, H. Suzuki, S. Hasegawa, Y. Tomiyama, S. Sekine, M. Sadgrove, and T. Hirano, Phys. Rev. A 88, 031602 (2013).
- [49] Ruquan Wang (private communication).